

The purpose of this ADDENDUM NO. 5, dated March 1, 2021, is to distribute information in response to questions received from proposers as well as other revisions to the solicitation documents. It should be noted that conformed documents will be issued at a later date to the selected General Contractor, which will update all contract documents to include revisions required below. ***All other specifications, terms and conditions of this solicitation not expressly amended by the responses in this ADDENDUM remain as originally stated.***

1. Questions from Proposers:

1.1 Question: Has there been a Hazmat survey completed on the steel beneath the grandstand regarding the presence of lead base paints?

UMBC Response: No Hazmat survey has been completed as part of the design process.

1.2 Question: The specifications state the jack modules shall match the color of the faceplate. The specs call for the faceplates to be stainless steel. The closest color to stainless steel is grey. Please confirm grey jack modules are acceptable.

UMBC Response: The faceplate shall be stainless, and the jacks shall be white.

1.3 Question: Is the single Category 6A outlet in Rm 109 a wall phone? If not, shall this be a 1-port faceplate or a 2-port with a blank?

UMBC Response: As stated on the drawing, this cable is for a Siemens panel. This shall be a jack installed in a single biscuit box, not a 1-port or 2-port faceplate.

1.4 Question: The specifications do not call out any patch cables. Please confirm patch cables are not required.

UMBC Response: Patch cords are not required. They are being provided by UMBC.

1.5 Question: Where Cameras are shown outside, will the outlets be terminated inside the exterior wall? If the outlets are terminated outside the exterior wall, is lightening protection required?

UMBC Response: The University does not need lightning protection on these cables. Also, these boxes shall be recessed and flush with the wall, not surface-mounted.

1.6 Question: There are no fiber or copper cables which provide signal to rm 109? Is there an existing cable already in place?

UMBC Response: There are backbone cables supporting Room 109. See T3.0, Detail 8.

1.7 Question: Are Oberbon enclosures required for all WAP locations or only the ones under the Grandstand?

UMBC Response: Only for the Grandstand.

1.8 Question: It appears the nearest to 24" high for the Chatsworth RMR industrial cabinet is 47.2" high. Please confirm this is acceptable.

UMBC Response: For pricing purposes, Proposers shall use RMR Standard Wall-Mount Enclosure, NEMA Type 4 & 12 with Single Door, A11-242424-G00 or equivalent.

1.9 Question: Please confirm all conduits and junction boxes will be provided and installed by the electrical contractor.

UMBC Response: Scoping of work to be determined by Contractor

1.10 Question: Will the telecommunication contractor be required to install customer provided access points?

UMBC Response: Yes.

1.11 Question: Will the grounding busbars and main grounding conductors be provided and installed by the electrical contractor?

UMBC Response: Scoping of work to be determined by Contractor

1.12 Question: What is the Access Point type and size that will need to be installed in the Oberon enclosures under the Grandstand?

UMBC Response: The access point likely to be used is the Cisco Aeronet 1562I. Include an enclosure that supports this access point.

1.13 Question: Will dedicated labor be required for a dedicated clean up crew?

UMBC Response: Scoping of work to be determined by Contractor

1.14 Question: Regarding grandstand notes 1 and 2/A1.3, sealant and pre-cast concrete repairs, are there quantities, LF or SF, available for inclusion? If not, this scope cannot be quantified and priced accurately. Should these two items be addressed by allowances for all GC's to include to insure accurate comparisons?

UMBC Response: Sealant joints in grandstand occur above each supporting steel member and between each precast section as shown on A4.3. Contractor to assume 50 SF of spalling and 50 SF of other repairs to the precast grandstand. See revised Section 012200 for additional Unit Price for this work.

- 1.15 Question: As you walk up to the current stands from where the new building will be located, the CMU is falling off. Will this need to be replaced?

UMBC Response: The CMU referred to in this question is unclear; however, the replacement of the CMU wall cap in this area is identified on the Civil documents and the CMU repairs for the grandstand are identified on the Architectural and Structural documents.

- 1.16 Question: On the back side of the stands at the OH door, the steel appears to be extremely rusty. Will this need to be replaced?

UMBC Response: Replacement is not anticipated, however steel should be prepped and coated per 099113.

- 1.17 Question: How will contractor parking go? Will an area be open for them, street parking free, or do we have to buy passes similar to other universities?

UMBC Response: Contractor parking is identified in the documents in 00700 and 011000.

- 1.18 Question: Please clarify the MBE goal requirement. The original RFP stated that the MBE goal is 19% (attachment D – MBE Proposal Forms, page 5). The specifications state that the goal is 30% (Bid Project Manual, page 139). Which one applies?

UMBC Response: As provided in the specification section, the solicitation may stipulate an amount other than 30%. This project has a 19% goal.

- 1.19 Question: Concerning Section 321823.16 Field Soil System: The area within the limit of disturbance is primarily along the baseball field warning track. The material specified to repair this area is an *infield mix* (DuraEdge Collegiate Infield Mix). Materials used on the warning track are much different in performance and price. Should this read *warning track mix* (DuraTrax Warning Track)? Please clarify and advise.

UMBC Response: Collegiate Infield Mix to be used at the Softball Field. Warning Track Mix to be used at Baseball. Revised specification section included in this Addendum.

- 1.20 Question: Please confirm the reference Alternate No 9 on sheet A 1.3 should be read as Alternate No 7.

UMBC Response: This is correct. Note to read “ALTERNATE NO. 7: ALL GRANDSTAND RAILS (TYPE A, A1 and A2) TO BE TYPE B, B1 AND B2.”

- 1.21 Question: Can we get a structural detail for the Alternate #2 Shade Canopies? Foundations and such.

UMBC Response: Structural foundations and other details are to be delegated design by the shade canopy manufacturer.

1.22 Question: On the proposal form, UP#2 is replacement of Piping, Heads, and related work. Under Specification 01.22.00-2, unit price is calling out for 3 different items, 1" PVC, 2" PVC, and Heads. Should the unit price on the proposal form be broken down into these three categories, or which category do you wish us to include on the Unit Price Schedule? Same with the UP#3. It is requesting Welds for Angles, Welds for Plates, and then reinforcing steel by weight. Can clarification be given to what exactly you are looking for on the proposal form?

UMBC Response: Please see the revised Price Proposal form to be used for submission.

1.23 Question: Basis of design for Pre-engineered Shade Structures declined to provide pricing on plaza shade canopies due to the way it is designed. Please advise.

UMBC Response: Basis of Design manufacturer (USA Shade) is willing and able to provide pricing. Contact Liz Chapman (Elizabeth.chapman@usa-shade.com)

1.24 Question: Regarding the 4' gates shown on L2.02, the specifications indicate that the latches should be able to accept a pad-lock, but it indicates a panic bar as well. Both are incompatible with each other. Please clarify.

UMBC Response: Please ignore 2.3 item G in 323119 that call for a panic bar. No panic bar is required for these gates.

1.25 Question: Should a panic bar be installed on one gate leaf of the 8' double gate and on one gate of the 16' double gate, or just the 8' double gate?

UMBC Response: Please ignore 2.3 item G in 323119 that call for a panic bar. No panic bar is required for these gates.

1.26 Question: What is the finish of the R1 handrails and the R2 steel fence on sheet L1.01?

UMBC Response: The R1 handrails are just galvanized steel with no finish to match University standard and per 055200 Exterior Railings. The R2 steel fence is powder coated black to match University standard and to match the steel gates.

1.27 Question: With respect to Repair Note 040100 -A on sheet A1.3 "Clean & Repoint Existing Brick Wall", what quantity of brick repointing should we assume will be required? Is it 100% or as needed?

UMBC Response: 100% of the brick wall at the front of the grandstand and around the egress stairs is to be cleaned and repointed.

1.28 Question: With respect to Grandstand Note 2 on sheet A1.3 - "Repair All Spalling, Holes, & Localized Damage to existing Precast", what quantity of concrete spalls, repairs, etc. should bidders assume will be required?

UMBC Response: Contractor to assume 50 SF of spalling and 50 SF of other repairs to the precast grandstand. See revised Section 012200 for additional Unit Price for this work.

1.29 Question: In the specs alternate 7 indicated work is shown of A1.3 and A6.4. I don't see any info on A6.4. Please advise.

UMBC Response: Spec to read "as shown on A1.3 and A5.1" See revised A1.3 and A5.1 as part of this addendum.

1.30 Question: In reference to Alternate 7. On A1.3 Bottom right they have the alternate as 9 and it states to replace all A and A1 railings. The specs calls for us to only replace type A. Please advise

UMBC Response: See revised A1.3 and Alternate spec for clarification.

1.31 Question: Are any finishes required in the dugouts? I didn't see anything called out.

UMBC Response: Dugout walls to be Decorative Concrete Masonry Unit 1 per drawings (no paint). Floor to be Broom Finish Concrete per Drawings. Ceiling to be Simulated Wood Soffit per drawings.

2. RFP Revisions: The following documents are included in this addendum with revisions clouded with Revision triangle 1. These documents are located in the Addendum 5 Drawing and Specifications box folder for this project: <https://umbc.box.com/s/6bl7o0cugxqi43gmcznr37pg5iffxcs>.

Drawings:

- CS1.0
- C1.0
- C1.1
- C1.2
- C2.0
- C3.0
- C3.1
- C3.2
- C4.0
- C4.1
- C4.2
- C5.0
- C5.1
- C5.2
- C5.3
- C6.0
- C6.2
- C6.3
- C6.4
- C6.5
- C7.0
- C7.1
- C7.2

- C7.3
- C7.4
- C7.5
- L3.00
- L3.01
- L3.02
- A1.3
- A5.1
- E1.2
- E1.3
- E1.5
- E3.3

Specifications

- 012200
- 012300
- 321823.16

3. **Acknowledgement of Addendum Form:** The Acknowledgement of Addendum is included on the Price Proposal form.

END OF ADDENDUM #5 DATED 03/01/21

This Addendum #5 on RFP #BC-21214-C is provided to those proposing firms who have remained shortlisted following the Second Phase Technical Evaluation on this procurement.

Attachment: *Revised* Base Price Proposal Form

PROPOSAL NO. RFP #BC-21214-C
BASE PRICE PROPOSAL DUE: FRIDAY, MARCH 5, 2021 ON OR BEFORE 11:59 P.M. (Eastern Time)
 via Box at Price_P.xc6ngltv9ufq7lob@u.box.com
BASE PRICE PROPOSAL FOR: General Contracting Services for the UMBC Stadiums Upgrade Project –
 Base Price Proposal ONLY

NAME OF PROPOSER: _____

FID #: _____

BASE PRICE PROPOSAL

DATE _____

Ms. Elizabeth Moss
 University of Maryland, Baltimore County
 1000 Hilltop Circle, Administration Building, 7TH Floor
 Baltimore, MD 21250

Dear Ms. Moss:

The undersigned, hereby submits a Base Price Proposal for General Contracting services for the Stadiums Upgrade Project as set forth in the UMBC RFP #BC-21214-C dated 11/23/20 and Addenda as follows:

Addendum No. <u>1</u>	Dated 12/05/20	Addendum No. <u> </u>	Dated _____
Addendum No. <u>2</u>	Dated 12/16/20	Addendum No. <u> </u>	Dated _____
Addendum No. <u>3</u>	Dated 02/09/21	Addendum No. <u> </u>	Dated _____
Addendum No. <u> </u>	Dated _____	Addendum No. <u> </u>	Dated _____

Having received clarification on all matters upon which any doubt arose, the undersigned proposes to provide all labor, material, equipment, supervision, and other necessary resources as required to complete the base work on UMBC's Stadiums Upgrade Project for the following quoted lump sum amount:

1. **Total Quoted Lump Sum Proposal for the Base Project (excluding Add Alternates)** (in words and figures)

_____ (\$ _____)

2. **Quoted Unit Pricing** (per specifications section 012200-2) with separate pricing per range of quantities noted below for unit prices #1 and #4 only based on the applicable unit of measurement.

Unit Price #	Description	Unit of Measurement	Unit Price	01-500	Over 500
1	Removal/replacement of unsatisfactory soil	CY of soil		\$	\$
2A	Replacement of 1" PVC irrigation piping	LF of piping	\$		
2B	Replacement of 2" PVC irrigation piping	LF of piping	\$		
2C	Replacement of irrigation heads or related work	Each	\$		
3A	Plate Reinforcement of Existing Steel Beams	Pound of steel	\$		
3B	Welds for Angles	LF of weld	\$		
3C	Welds for Plates	LF of weld	\$		
4	Stone for Roadway Stabilization	CY of stone installed		\$	\$

PROPOSAL NO. RFP #BC-21214-C
BASE PRICE PROPOSAL DUE: FRIDAY, MARCH 5, 2021 ON OR BEFORE 11:59 P.M. (Eastern Time)
via Box at Price_P.xc6ngltv9ufq7lob@u.box.com
BASE PRICE PROPOSAL FOR: General Contracting Services for the UMBC Stadiums Upgrade Project –
Base Price Proposal ONLY

NAME OF PROPOSER: _____

By submitting this Base Price Proposal, we understand the following:

1. Our firm is agreeing to the complete the base work for this Project for the lump sum price quoted above.
2. Our Base Price Proposal may be rejected if it not received with the necessary 5% bid bond.
3. Our Base Price Proposal will remain in effect for a minimum of 120 days from the due date of the Base Price Proposal.

We also understand that we are to submit a separate Price Proposal for the Add Alternates on this project on the date/time specified in the solicitation documents. We also understand that the Part 3 – MBE Participation Schedule is not to be submitted with our Base Price Proposal. Rather our Add Alternates Price Proposal must include the completed Part 3 – MBE Participation Schedule for the (a) Base Price Proposal and (b) a separate schedule for *each* of the add alternates; failure to submit these MBE Participation Schedules with the Add Alternates Price Proposal is not curable and shall result in the Procurement Officer deeming our firm to be not susceptible of award and our firm will not continue in this procurement. We also understand that the price evaluation will include the (a) Base Price Proposal and (b) Add Alternates Price Proposal based on the alternates selected by the University that are within the University’s project budget. We also agree that our quoted lump sum Base Price Proposal and separately submitted Add Alternates Price Proposal include the Prevailing Wages to be paid during the construction of the Project.

If we are the awarded firm, we understand that a 100% Performance & Payment Bond is required upon the final execution of the Contract. We also understand that the Bid/Proposal Affidavit and proof of the Proposer's Contractor's license under Title 17, Subtitle 6, of the Business Regulation Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland provided with our Technical Proposal remain in force under this Base Price Proposal phase as well as the proposed key personnel submitted in our Technical Proposal.

We also know that no key personnel changes can be made without the written approval of the University via a contract amendment. We understand that the University reserves the right to award a contract (or contracts) for all items, or any parts thereof, as set forth in detail under the information furnished in the solicitation document. The Proposer represents, and it is a condition precedent to acceptance of this Base Price Proposal, that the Proposer has not been a party to any agreement to submit a fixed or uniform price and that the signatory is authorized to financially obligate the Proposer.

SIGNED: _____

PRINTED NAME: _____

TITLE: _____

DATE: _____